Summary of Needs

Current utility rates across the County:

• Town of Hilton Head Island

- City of Beaufort
- Town of Bluffton
- Beaufort County
- Town of Port Royal

- \$105 / SFU
- \$98 / SFU
- \$50 / SFU
- \$50 / SFU

Cost drivers

- Municipal Separate Stormsewer System (MS4) program
- Capital projects
- Operations and Maintenance needs

Municipal Separate Stormsewer System (MS4) Program

- Enacted by the Clean Water Act of 1972
- Federally mandated permit
- Based on County's population growth
- Six Stormwater Management program elements that must be included in the permit

Minimum Control Measures (MCM)

- 1. Public Education
- 2. Public Outreach and Involvement
- 3. Illicit Discharge, Detection, and Elimination (IDDE)
- 4. Construction Run-Off
- 5. Post Construction Best Management Practices (PC-BMP)
- 6. Good Housekeeping in Municipal Operations
- Each MCM requires staffing and equipment for plan review, inspections, monitoring, and coordination

Capital projects

- The 2006 Master Plan identified projects to deal with:
 - Alleviate road flooding
 - Infrastructure rehabilitation
 - Pollutant removal
- We currently have 14 projects identified to:
 - Meet 2006 Master Plan goals, and
 - Stormwater runoff volume reduction
 - Promote growth / redevelopment
- Approx. \$22 million scheduled over 10 years

Capital projects cont.

- The Utility has the following projects under design and / or construction:
 - US 278 widening drainage \$359,400 (13)
 - Admin. Complex parking retrofit \$327,768 ('13)
 - Burton Hill M2 (aka Battery Creek 319) \$132,609 (county portion of cost share) ('12)
 - SC170 widening drainage / Okatie West \$2,193,000 (14)

Year denotes date of last cost estimate

Capital projects cont.

- The 2006 Stormwater Management Plan identified numerous other capital projects:
 - Salt Creek South M1 \$2,045,000 ('06)
 - Shanklin Road M2 \$3,340,000 ('06)
 - Factory Creek M2 \$1,740,000 ('06)
 - Grober Hill M2 \$2,555,000 ('06)
 - Camp St. Mary M2 \$3,757,000 ('06)
 - Battery Creek West M1 \$4,140,000 ('06)
 - Paige Point Overtopping \$335,000 ('06)

Year denotes date of last cost estimate

Capital projects cont.

- Other projects and needs have been identified since 2006:
 - -Buckingham Plantation infrastructure rehabilitation \$900,000 ('14)
 - -Sawmill Creek overtopping (aka Forby site) \$150,000 ('14)
 - -Brewer Memorial Park Demonstration wet pond \$79,500 (14)
 - -Shell Point regional facility \$ unknown

Year denotes date of last cost estimate

Operations and Maintenance needs

- Expand staff and resources to improve preventative maintenance work (proactive v. reactive)
- Expand Extent of Service policy to take on O&M of certain infrastructure that serves State roads and/or private property that also serves a County interest
- Provide higher level of service on countywide infrastructure located within municipal jurisdictions

Study Findings

Major Issues for County:

- Countywide infrastructure O&M costs increasing and currently no funding from municipalities
- County rate base decreasing
- MS4 compliance costs increasing
- Capital needs expanding
- Rates held constant since 2008 while costs rose and inflation continued

STORMWATER UTILITY RATE STUDY SIX OPTIONS FOR RATE STRUCTURE

Modeled Rate Structure Option	Overall Rate Structure	Debt Financing for Some Capital	Partial Tax Funding	Method for Allocating Administrative Costs	Method for Allocating County- wide Infrastructure Maintenance Costs	Method for Re- allocating Costs from One Jurisdiction to another	Minimum Charge	Simplified Residential Rates
А	Impervious Area	No	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious Area SFU's	None	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	No	Yes
В	Impervious Area	Yes	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious Area SFU's	None	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	No	Yes
С	Impervious and Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	No	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Per Account	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes
D	Impervious and Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	No	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious and Gross Area	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes
E	Impervious and Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	Yes	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Per Account	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes
F	Impervious and Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	Yes	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious and Gross Area	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes

STORMWATER UTILITY RATE STUDY SIX OPTIONS FOR RATE STRUCTURE

Modeled Rate Structure Option	Overall Rate Structure	Debt Financing for Some Capital	Partial Tax Funding	Method for Allocating Administrative Costs	Method for Allocating County- wide Infrastructure Maintenance Costs	Method for Re- allocating Costs from One Jurisdiction to another	Minimum Charge	Simplified Residential Rates
А	Impervious Area	No	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious Area SFU's	None	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	No	Yes
В	Impervious Area	Yes	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious Area SFU's	None	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	No	Yes
С	Impervious and Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	No	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Per Account	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes
D	Impervious and Gross Area at	No	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious and Gross Area	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at	Yes	Yes
Е	Impervious and Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	Yes	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Per Account	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes
F	Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	Yes	Optional at Junisdiction I Choice	Impervious and Gross Area	Impervious and Gross Area	Ontional et Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes

Noteworthy components of Option E:

- Administration costs \$3.18 per SFU this year, transitioning to per account in out years
- Borrow funds to finance capital projects (\$5M in 2017, \$5M in 2019)
- 80/20 Impervious and Gross area revenues for variable portion
- Countywide Infrastructure allocable to Impervious and Gross area

Advantages of this rate structure:

- Consistent with already established administration costs this year, and flexible for changing the methodology later
- Borrowing funds to finance capital projects blunts rate increase and allows future residents to help pay for the programs and infrastructure they will use
- Allocates some costs to gross land area, identifying that even undeveloped land contributes to flooding and water quality problems
- Establishes an allocation method for countywide infrastructure O&M, providing fair and equitable funding for the services provided.

 Allocation of CWI costs based on infrastructure distribution throughout County:

Unincorporated County	76.4%
City of Beaufort	3.4%
Town of Port Royal	1.0%
Town of Bluffton	11.1%
Town of Hilton Head Island	8.1%

- Countywide Infrastructure costs about \$3.5M
- Current per SFU rates required to generate CWI monies are as follows:

Unincorporated County	\$42.28 * per SFU
City of Beaufort	\$8.05 per SFU
Town of Port Royal	\$5.03 per SFU
Town of Bluffton	\$26.34 per SFU
Town of Hilton Head Island	\$7.66 per SFU

• Unlike the municipal areas, the CWI is included in the County SFU (or IA/GA fees), not in addition to.

- OPTION E -- Unincorporated County rates recommended in study:
 - Fixed charge per year: \$12.00 per parcel/account
 - Impervious charge: \$65.00 per Unit
 - Gross area charge: \$10.00 per Unit, declining blocks

Declining block rates for gross area charges in the recommended unincorporated County rate structure:

• First 2 acres: \$10.00 per year

• Next 8 acres: \$5.00 per acre per year

• Next 90 acres: \$4.00 per acre per year

• All acres > 100: \$3.00 per acre per year

How Option E Compares -- Unincorporated County rates recommended in study:

Example

Typical home on 1 acre lot

• Current charge: \$50 per year

• Option A charge: \$100 per year (\$120 per year by 2019)

• Option E charge: \$87 per year

Other examples have been prepared to show how the rate structure change and rate increase affects non-residential parcels.

Current utility rates across the County:

• To	wn of H	ilton Head	Island	\$108.70	/ SFU
------	---------	------------	--------	----------	-------

- City of Beaufort \$105 / SFU
- Town of Bluffton \$98 / SFU
- Beaufort County \$50 / SFU
- Town of Port Royal \$50 / SFU

Proposed utility rate:

• Beaufort County \$87 / IA,GA, admin